

EXHIBIT A

Harry W. Johnson, Jr., M.D.

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
3 CHARLESTON DIVISION

4 Master File No. 2:12-MD-02327 MDL 2327

5 IN RE: ETHICON, INC., PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS
6 LIABILITY LITIGATION

7 CONSOLIDATED TRIAL

8 MULLINS, ET AL. JOSEPH R. GOODWIN

9 v. ETHICON, INC., ET AL. U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

10 CASE NO. 2:12-cv-02952

11 Baltimore, Maryland
12 Thursday, July 14, 2016

13 General TVT Deposition of:

14 HARRY W. JOHNSON, JR., M.D.

15 the witness, was called for examination by counsel
16 for the Plaintiff, pursuant to notice, commencing
17 at 8:22 a.m., at the Kimpton Hotel Monaco Baltimore
18 Inner Harbor, 2 North Charles Street, Baltimore,
19 Maryland 21201, before a Notary Public in and for
20 the State of Maryland, when were present on behalf
21 of the respective parties:

22
23
24
25

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 2</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A P P E A R A N C E S</p> <p>ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:</p> <p>JOHN R. CRONE, ESQUIRE ANDRUS WAGSTAFF 7171 West Alaska Drive Lakewood, Colorado 80226 (303) 376-6360 john.crone@andruswagstaff.com</p> <p>ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF JANET WEBB: (Appearing telephonically)</p> <p>CLINTON J. CASPERON, ESQUIRE TRACEY & FOX 440 Louisiana Street Suite 1901 Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 955-7854 ccasperon@traceylawfirm.com</p> <p>ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:</p> <p>PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE SUSAN M. ROBINSON, ESQUIRE THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC 300 Summers Street Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1800 pcombs@tcspllc.com srobinson@tcspllc.com</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 4</p> <p style="text-align: center;">P R O C E E D I N G S</p> <p>Whereupon,</p> <p>HARRY W. JOHNSON, JR., M.D.</p> <p>a Witness, called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiffs, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:</p> <p>EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS</p> <p>BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>Q. Dr. Johnson, I know we've met, but if you could state and spell your name for the record, please.</p> <p>A. Harry Wallace Johnson, Jr. That's H-a-r-r-y, Wallace, W-a-l-l-a-c-e, Johnson, J-o-h-n-s-o-n, Jr., J-r.</p> <p>Q. And you've had your deposition taken before?</p> <p>A. I have.</p> <p>Q. Okay. So it's fair to say you understand the ground rules generally?</p> <p>A. Yes.</p> <p>Q. Okay. The only thing I'll repeat, then, is that when I ask a question, if you don't understand it, please ask me to clarify. I have no interest in you answering questions you don't understand, but if you don't ask to clarify, I'll</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 3</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C O N T E N T S</p> <p>EXAMINATION OF HARRY W. JOHNSON, JR., M.D. PAGE</p> <p>BY MR. CRONE 4</p> <p style="text-align: center;">E X H I B I T S</p> <p>JOHNSON DEPOSITION EXHIBITS PAGE</p> <p>Exhibit 1 Notice of Deposition 11</p> <p>Exhibit 2 General Expert Report 13</p> <p>Exhibit 3 Curriculum Vitae 13</p> <p>Exhibit 4 Reliance List 7</p> <p>Exhibit 5 Supplemental Reliance List 8</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 5</p> <p>assume you understood the question. Fair enough?</p> <p>A. Yes.</p> <p>Q. Okay. Good.</p> <p>So, Dr. Johnson, you've been retained by the Defendants to offer a general causation opinion on the TVT product; is that correct?</p> <p>A. That's correct.</p> <p>Q. And you've drafted an expert report expressing those opinions?</p> <p>A. That's correct.</p> <p>Q. Okay. And that expert report expresses opinions on the TVT product?</p> <p>A. That's correct.</p> <p>Q. And on the TVT-O product?</p> <p>A. Yes.</p> <p>Q. Okay. Would you agree that the Mullins consolidation involves cases only regarding the TVT product?</p> <p>MR. COMBS: Dr. Johnson may not know that. I'll stipulate that it does, but you're welcome to ask him about it.</p> <p>MR. CRONE: Yeah.</p> <p>BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>Q. I mean, do you know that, Dr. Johnson?</p> <p>A. That's my understanding.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 6</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And so would you agree, then, that</p> <p>2 any opinions in your general causation report</p> <p>3 related to the TVT-O product aren't relevant to</p> <p>4 this -- to the Mullins consolidation litigation?</p> <p>5 A. Well, some of my opinions for -- would</p> <p>6 apply to either product.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Yeah, let me ask it a bit more</p> <p>8 clear.</p> <p>9 Do you intend to offer any opinions on the</p> <p>10 TVT-O's safety?</p> <p>11 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Well, I would say when I</p> <p>13 came to this deposition, I thought we were talking</p> <p>14 about TVT. If asked questions about TVT-O, I would</p> <p>15 answer those questions. Is that what you mean?</p> <p>16 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>17 Q. Well, yeah, I also thought we were talking</p> <p>18 about TVT only. I'm referring to the opinions</p> <p>19 expressed in your report relating to the TVT-O.</p> <p>20 So the question I'm asking is: Are you</p> <p>21 intending to offer opinions at any future date on</p> <p>22 the TVT-O product's safety?</p> <p>23 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I completely</p> <p>25 understand, but what I think you're asking me, if</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 8</p> <p>1 Exhibit 5.</p> <p>2 (Exhibit 5 was marked for identification</p> <p>3 and is attached to the transcript.)</p> <p>4 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So have you seen these two</p> <p>6 documents in front of you, Exhibit 4 and 5?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. And what are these?</p> <p>9 A. It's a reliance list and a supplemental</p> <p>10 reliance list.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And so are all of the materials</p> <p>12 listed in the reliance list and supplemental</p> <p>13 reliance list materials you relied on in forming</p> <p>14 your opinions on the TVT product?</p> <p>15 A. The materials that I relied on are within</p> <p>16 this list.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And so there are additional</p> <p>18 materials on the list that you did not rely on?</p> <p>19 A. No. There's -- there are things in this</p> <p>20 list that I didn't review that I didn't feel were</p> <p>21 important to me.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And so the materials on the list</p> <p>23 were provided to you by Ethicon's attorneys?</p> <p>24 A. By Butler Snow.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And Butler Snow is the law firm --</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 7</p> <p>1 I'm going to offer opinions about TVT-O in these six</p> <p>2 cases.</p> <p>3 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>4 Q. That's correct.</p> <p>5 A. I'm going to offer opinions about TVT in</p> <p>6 these six cases.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. So in these six cases, you won't</p> <p>8 offer any opinions related to TVT-O's safety or</p> <p>9 efficacy?</p> <p>10 A. Only if a question about TVT-O were to</p> <p>11 come up.</p> <p>12 Q. That's fair enough.</p> <p>13 So, Doctor, I'm going to hand you some</p> <p>14 exhibits. And these are out of order. And believe</p> <p>15 it or not, last night I reordered this to try to be</p> <p>16 more efficient. So I'm going to mark them out of</p> <p>17 order, and the first exhibit is your reliance list.</p> <p>18 MR. CRONE: If we could mark this as</p> <p>19 Exhibit 4.</p> <p>20 (Exhibit 4 was marked for identification</p> <p>21 and is attached to the transcript.)</p> <p>22 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>23 Q. And then I will hand you your supplemental</p> <p>24 reliance list.</p> <p>25 MR. CRONE: And this we'll mark as</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9</p> <p>1 one of the law firms that represents the Defendants,</p> <p>2 correct?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And so they sent over various materials</p> <p>5 for you to review?</p> <p>6 A. I mean, my understanding is they sent</p> <p>7 everything on these reliance lists.</p> <p>8 Q. And then you reviewed some of it, relied</p> <p>9 on that to form your opinions; is that fair?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And then some of it you didn't review</p> <p>12 because you didn't think it was relevant or</p> <p>13 necessary?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And so what is your understanding</p> <p>16 of -- how would you define a reliance list?</p> <p>17 MR. COMBS: Objection to the form. Asks</p> <p>18 for a legal conclusion from a lay witness.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: It would be materials that I</p> <p>20 can review and rely on to help me write a report and</p> <p>21 reference medical literature involving the report</p> <p>22 that I would be writing.</p> <p>23 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And so why, then, did you include</p> <p>25 information on the reliance list that you didn't, in</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 fact, rely on in forming your opinions on the TVT 2 product?</p> <p>3 MR. COMBS: Objection to form.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: Well, this list is a list of 5 everything that I was sent, so I just provided a 6 complete list of materials that I was sent.</p> <p>7 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>8 Q. But these aren't, in fact -- there are 9 many materials on the list that you did not rely on 10 in forming your opinions on the TVT product; is that 11 fair?</p> <p>12 A. Well, there's a lot of things in this 13 reliance list that are referenced in other articles 14 on the reliance list, so it's kind of intermingled.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. So is it possible -- would it be 16 possible for you, then, to go through Exhibit 4 and 17 5, the reliance list and supplemental reliance list, 18 and pare it down to the -- just the materials you 19 actually did rely upon in forming your opinions on 20 the TVT?</p> <p>21 A. Well, I don't think that would be possible 22 because a lot of this material I reviewed and just 23 formed opinions over a long period of time, not 24 specifically for this report. So I reviewed 25 literature in addition to performing the report</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 12</p> <p>1 A. I brought my general report and a 2 literature book.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay.</p> <p>4 MR. COMBS: And then I have also brought a 5 thumb drive, which is marked Johnson General, which, 6 it's my understanding, would have an electronic copy 7 of the materials on Dr. Johnson's reliance list.</p> <p>8 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>9 Q. So the thumb drive has the reliance list 10 materials. You've brought the general report.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Anything else?</p> <p>13 A. I brought a book of TVT medical 14 literature.</p> <p>15 MR. CRONE: Which would be on the thumb 16 drive, right, Phil?</p> <p>17 MR. COMBS: It should be. I mean, I'm 18 always hesitant to answer that because I don't 19 actually make the thumb drives, but if there is 20 anything in that medical literature notebook that is 21 not on the thumb drive, that is an error.</p> <p>22 MR. CRONE: Okay.</p> <p>23 MR. COMBS: It should have everything that 24 is in the TVT medical literature book and everything 25 that is in the notebook that's in Dr. Johnson's left</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 that's part of this literature --</p> <p>2 Q. But you would --</p> <p>3 A. -- or medical science.</p> <p>4 Q. But you would recognize any materials on 5 there you haven't ever read before, correct?</p> <p>6 A. For the most part, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. All right. We'll set those aside. 8 I'm going to hand you what we'll mark as 9 Exhibit 1 now.</p> <p>10 (Exhibit 1 was marked for identification 11 and is attached to the transcript.)</p> <p>12 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>13 Q. So Exhibit 1 is titled Notice to Take 14 Deposition of Dr. Harry Johnson, Jr. Have you seen 15 this document before?</p> <p>16 A. I have seen this.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. And you've reviewed it?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And this document asked you to 20 bring various documents with you? To help you out 21 here, it's at page 6. It starts at page 6 of the 22 document and then goes to the end. It asks you to 23 bring various documents. Do you see that?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you bring those documents?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 13</p> <p>1 hand.</p> <p>2 MR. CRONE: Okay. Great.</p> <p>3 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>4 Q. And did you bring any invoices for work 5 completed thus far?</p> <p>6 A. I did not.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Have you generated any invoices?</p> <p>8 A. I have not.</p> <p>9 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>10 A. Well, probably the simplest answer is I've 11 been working to get ready for this. So I'll prepare 12 one afterwards. I'm happy to share that with you.</p> <p>13 Q. Yeah, that would be great. At a later 14 date would be fine.</p> <p>15 This ties into it, so I'll just mark this 16 now. As you know, we have a copy of your CV. And I 17 think it's just slightly out of date.</p> <p>18 MR. CRONE: We'll mark this as Exhibit 3. 19 (Exhibit 3 was marked for identification 20 and is attached to the transcript.)</p> <p>21 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>22 Q. And while we're at it, let's get your 23 general report in this matter marked, which is 24 Exhibit 2.</p> <p>25 (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 14</p> <p>1 and is attached to the transcript.)</p> <p>2 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. So, Doctor, if we can go to page 3</p> <p>4 of Exhibit 2, which is your expert report. It's the</p> <p>5 one just handed to you, Exhibit 2.</p> <p>6 MR. COMBS: John, you said page 3?</p> <p>7 MR. CRONE: Page 3, yeah.</p> <p>8 MR. COMBS: Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>9 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>10 Q. In the middle of the page, it lists your</p> <p>11 rates there. Are those rates current?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. So is it your practice, then, to bill --</p> <p>14 to -- I'll use the term line item bill, if you</p> <p>15 understand that, when you send an invoice, or how do</p> <p>16 you generate your invoices?</p> <p>17 A. Just like this summary here.</p> <p>18 Q. So one line might have a summary</p> <p>19 indicating you met with somebody or had a telephone</p> <p>20 call and you would just note the amount of time that</p> <p>21 took?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay.</p> <p>24 MR. COMBS: John?</p> <p>25 MR. CRONE: Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 16</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And so that is an error and should be</p> <p>3 included in the expert report on that list on</p> <p>4 page 3?</p> <p>5 A. Yes. I don't -- I don't actually keep a</p> <p>6 list of cases, but I went through, to the best of my</p> <p>7 ability, my calendar to generate this list. So I</p> <p>8 must have missed that. I don't --</p> <p>9 Q. Okay.</p> <p>10 A. It was unintentional.</p> <p>11 Q. And do you think with that addition it's</p> <p>12 complete now, that list?</p> <p>13 A. I believe I gave one deposition in the</p> <p>14 last month not related to this matter that's not</p> <p>15 listed here.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. And what sort of matter was that?</p> <p>17 A. That was a malpractice case.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you know the name of the case?</p> <p>19 A. I don't know the name, but I'm happy to</p> <p>20 provide that to you.</p> <p>21 Q. Sure.</p> <p>22 Dr. Johnson, have you ever acted as a</p> <p>23 consultant for any matter for Ethicon?</p> <p>24 A. With regard to what? I mean, I prepared</p> <p>25 the -- I prepared the general report we just</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 15</p> <p>1 MR. COMBS: Just before you leave this</p> <p>2 page --</p> <p>3 MR. CRONE: Sure.</p> <p>4 MR. COMBS: -- I want to say something</p> <p>5 about it, but I don't want to interrupt you.</p> <p>6 MR. CRONE: Oh, no. Please go ahead.</p> <p>7 MR. COMBS: Well, I just wanted to say, I</p> <p>8 look at this and I notice an error on page 3 in</p> <p>9 terms of it listing Dr. Johnson's testimony.</p> <p>10 Because in 2014, Dr. Johnson did give a deposition,</p> <p>11 which, you know, obviously the Plaintiffs are</p> <p>12 familiar with because you have it, but it's in the</p> <p>13 Huskey/Edwards case. So it's just an error on that</p> <p>14 list.</p> <p>15 MR. CRONE: Okay. Yeah, and I was going</p> <p>16 to get to that, but we might as well clear it up</p> <p>17 now.</p> <p>18 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>19 Q. So you recall giving a deposition in the</p> <p>20 Huskey/Edwards v. Ethicon case?</p> <p>21 A. I do.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And when you gave that deposition,</p> <p>23 you testified accurately in that deposition?</p> <p>24 A. To the best of my ability.</p> <p>25 Q. Truthfully to the best of your ability?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 17</p> <p>1 discussed in the Edwards matter.</p> <p>2 Q. No. I'm referring to things like</p> <p>3 preceptorships, proctorships, consulting on -- I</p> <p>4 mean as broad as possible -- consulting on drafting</p> <p>5 IFUs, patient brochures, that sort of thing.</p> <p>6 A. I did on several occasions work as a</p> <p>7 preceptor. In other words, Ethicon brought in two</p> <p>8 or three surgeons to watch me perform a TVT. I was</p> <p>9 a faculty member in courses for a company named</p> <p>10 IMET, I-M-E-T, that I believe -- well, the company</p> <p>11 taught all different types of surgical procedures,</p> <p>12 if you will, and TVT was, I believe, taught in that</p> <p>13 course. And some of the courses may have been</p> <p>14 sponsored by Ethicon. I was just a faculty member</p> <p>15 in the course but not -- I don't believe that we</p> <p>16 were -- I wasn't working for Ethicon at the time. I</p> <p>17 was teaching a course for the IMET company.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So excluding the IMET company work</p> <p>19 and -- so then acting as a preceptor for Ethicon</p> <p>20 prior to that, anything else that you did for</p> <p>21 Ethicon?</p> <p>22 A. No. I was never -- I never contracted</p> <p>23 with Ethicon to do any sort of teaching in these</p> <p>24 procedures. I just agreed a time or two for</p> <p>25 observation of cases that I was doing.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18</p> <p>1 Q. And when did you conduct these activities?</p> <p>2 A. That was in the early 2000s. I don't</p> <p>3 know. Early to mid 2000s.</p> <p>4 Q. Would it be as late as 2008?</p> <p>5 A. I don't believe so.</p> <p>6 Q. So if there were a document out there</p> <p>7 showing that you did work for Ethicon in 2008, would</p> <p>8 that be -- would that document be inaccurate?</p> <p>9 A. I -- I mean, I suppose it's possible I did</p> <p>10 something in 2008. I would have to look at the</p> <p>11 document. I don't recall the specific dates. I</p> <p>12 just know that I didn't do this very much. But it</p> <p>13 was sometime -- I mean, when I did it, it was</p> <p>14 sometime between -- sometime prior to 2010, I'm</p> <p>15 sure, fairly sure, but I don't recall the dates</p> <p>16 exactly.</p> <p>17 Q. Can you estimate the total amount Ethicon</p> <p>18 has paid you for all of your consulting activities?</p> <p>19 Is it fair if I just call them consulting</p> <p>20 activities?</p> <p>21 MR. COMBS: Just so that I understand, are</p> <p>22 we talking about as a preceptor?</p> <p>23 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>24 Q. Yeah, we're talking about as a preceptor</p> <p>25 and then anything else -- I know you're having a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 20</p> <p>1 A. -- without reviewing any sort of</p> <p>2 historical documents or anything, I would think it</p> <p>3 would be less than 5- or \$10,000. I just don't</p> <p>4 recall exactly what I did.</p> <p>5 Q. You don't think it could be more than</p> <p>6 \$20,000?</p> <p>7 A. I would seriously doubt that.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. So let's go back to your expert</p> <p>9 report that's Exhibit 2. I think you already have</p> <p>10 it in front of you. Ethicon -- or the attorneys for</p> <p>11 Ethicon, I should say, asked you to write this</p> <p>12 opinion; is that correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. And let's look at page 2. If you look at</p> <p>15 the second full -- the second full paragraph from</p> <p>16 the bottom of the page, it starts with: "I am a</p> <p>17 very active surgeon."</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. The next sentence says you've performed at</p> <p>20 least 750 polypropylene midurethral slings. Is that</p> <p>21 an accurate number?</p> <p>22 A. Fairly accurate.</p> <p>23 Q. And you're still performing about 50 sling</p> <p>24 procedures per year?</p> <p>25 A. Maybe a little less in the last year or</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 19</p> <p>1 hard time remembering exactly what you may have done</p> <p>2 and when, but certainly if I use the term</p> <p>3 "consulting activities," I'm including as a</p> <p>4 preceptor and anything else you may have done.</p> <p>5 MR. COMBS: But -- here's the only thing I</p> <p>6 want to understand. Are you talking consulting work</p> <p>7 and the medicolegal work?</p> <p>8 MR. CRONE: No. No. I'm sorry.</p> <p>9 MR. COMBS: Okay. That's the part --</p> <p>10 MR. CRONE: Okay. That's a fair question.</p> <p>11 I understand.</p> <p>12 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>13 Q. Not anything related to your retention as</p> <p>14 an expert.</p> <p>15 A. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. So not drafting expert reports or anything</p> <p>17 like that. Just this prior consulting work that you</p> <p>18 discussed in the 2000s, maybe as late as -- you</p> <p>19 know, prior to 2010, that work that you described.</p> <p>20 Can you estimate how much you were paid for all of</p> <p>21 that?</p> <p>22 A. I'm not sure that I can give you a real</p> <p>23 accurate estimate, but I would say, if I -- if I</p> <p>24 made some sort of guess --</p> <p>25 Q. Sure.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 21</p> <p>1 two.</p> <p>2 Q. And why have you been performing a bit</p> <p>3 less?</p> <p>4 A. Well, I have some more administrative</p> <p>5 duties, and I had took some time off for a surgical</p> <p>6 procedure, so it changed --</p> <p>7 Q. And then --</p> <p>8 A. -- my practice a little bit.</p> <p>9 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.</p> <p>10 A. That's okay.</p> <p>11 Q. The last sentence in that paragraph says</p> <p>12 you currently use the TVT-O and TVT-Exact. Why is</p> <p>13 that?</p> <p>14 A. Well, I use what we have at our hospital.</p> <p>15 So the TVT and the TVT-Exact are essentially the</p> <p>16 same product, so I use them interchangeably.</p> <p>17 Actually, I still use the regular TVT.</p> <p>18 Q. How often do you still use the regular</p> <p>19 TVT?</p> <p>20 A. Well, I operate at four different</p> <p>21 hospitals and not everybody has the Exact. So I</p> <p>22 would guess it varies from year to year depending</p> <p>23 where I'm operating. I don't know if I can give you</p> <p>24 an exact number. It just varies from year to year.</p> <p>25 Q. That's fine. No need to guess.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 22</p> <p>1 If a hospital has the TVT-Exact and the</p> <p>2 TVT, do you prefer the TVT-Exact?</p> <p>3 A. I really don't have a preference. The</p> <p>4 difference of the needle is minimal or the passer.</p> <p>5 Q. What sort of mesh is in the TVT?</p> <p>6 A. Polypropylene Type I Macroporous mesh.</p> <p>7 Q. And is that the same type of mesh that's</p> <p>8 in the TVT-Exact?</p> <p>9 A. They're both -- they're both polypropylene</p> <p>10 mesh.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you know if the TVT-Exact polypropylene</p> <p>12 mesh is Type I Macroporous?</p> <p>13 A. I believe it is.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Moving ahead to page 3. The first</p> <p>15 full paragraph, the sentence starts with: "The UITN</p> <p>16 Network."</p> <p>17 Do you see that sentence?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. And it mentions in that same paragraph</p> <p>20 that the UITN Network conducted a large,</p> <p>21 prospective, randomized surgical trial -- or trials.</p> <p>22 And what -- starting with the first one because --</p> <p>23 well, first let me ask: When you say "trials," you</p> <p>24 mean they conducted more than one study?</p> <p>25 A. That's correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 24</p> <p>1 Diplomate, American Board of OB/GYN, the</p> <p>2 recertification stops at 2013. Were you then</p> <p>3 recertified in 2014, 2015, and 2016?</p> <p>4 A. Yeah, I'm currently recertified. In 2014,</p> <p>5 I missed the deadline for a test so I had to file</p> <p>6 for a re-entry test, which I took and passed, to put</p> <p>7 me back on the yearly schedule.</p> <p>8 Q. And you're unaware if during that time you</p> <p>9 missed a test and then filed the paperwork for the</p> <p>10 re-entry test the certification lapsed in that</p> <p>11 period?</p> <p>12 A. I'm not sure on that. The test was due by</p> <p>13 December 31st, and I completed it, I think, around</p> <p>14 the beginning of April. So the March-April time</p> <p>15 frame.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Skipping to page 5.</p> <p>17 A. The --</p> <p>18 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.</p> <p>19 A. The one thing I would say in the specialty</p> <p>20 boards that's not there, also in -- I was certified</p> <p>21 in female pelvic medicine and reconstructive surgery</p> <p>22 last year, which is a subspecialty certification</p> <p>23 within the board of OB/GYN.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And how does that differ from the</p> <p>25 prior certifications?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 23</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. And so when was the first one</p> <p>2 conducted?</p> <p>3 A. It started sometime around the early</p> <p>4 2000s.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And were those studies -- or</p> <p>6 trials. I'm sorry. Were those trials looking at</p> <p>7 the TVT product?</p> <p>8 A. Initially we looked at Burch versus</p> <p>9 fascial sling.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Then skipping ahead to the next</p> <p>11 trial, then, which products did that -- or</p> <p>12 procedures did that look at?</p> <p>13 A. TVT, TVT-O, and Monarc. It was looking at</p> <p>14 retropubic versus obturator. And obturator used two</p> <p>15 different -- there was two different slings that</p> <p>16 were used in the obturator arm that were based on</p> <p>17 surgeon preference.</p> <p>18 Q. And the TVT uses the retropubic procedure,</p> <p>19 correct?</p> <p>20 A. That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q. Let's skip over to your CV, which is</p> <p>22 Exhibit 3. And I understand this is just a bit out</p> <p>23 of date, so let's skip to what we know is a bit out</p> <p>24 of date.</p> <p>25 On page 2, under specialty boards,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 25</p> <p>1 A. The OB/GYN certification is for general</p> <p>2 OB/GYN. It's an examination that you take after you</p> <p>3 complete your residency followed by an oral</p> <p>4 examination. And then the recertification is a</p> <p>5 yearly -- you have a choice of recertification every</p> <p>6 seven years or ten years depending on when your</p> <p>7 first certification was or you can choose the yearly</p> <p>8 certification. In 2000, the yearly certification</p> <p>9 started, and you can choose that by choice.</p> <p>10 In 2013, the board developed a</p> <p>11 subspecialty certification in female pelvic medicine</p> <p>12 and reconstructive surgery for people that had</p> <p>13 either extensive experience or fellowship training</p> <p>14 in pelvic floor issues. And they had a -- offered</p> <p>15 an examination for subspecialty certification</p> <p>16 starting in 2013. And now that's the certification</p> <p>17 that people would take in addition to their OB/GYN</p> <p>18 certification as a subspecialist.</p> <p>19 In OB/GYN, there are four subspecialties,</p> <p>20 and this is the latest and fourth one that was</p> <p>21 added. There's maternal-fetal medicine,</p> <p>22 reproductive endocrinology, oncology, and now female</p> <p>23 pelvic medicine and reconstructive surgery.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And the female pelvic medicine and</p> <p>25 reconstructive surgery certification, that would</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26</p> <p>1 relate to performing procedures to repair 2 incontinence? 3 A. That's one of the things that it refers 4 to. 5 Q. It would also refer to prolapse repairs 6 and other things of that nature? 7 A. Basically things that affect the pelvic 8 floor function of the bladder, vagina, bowels, 9 rectum-type thing, and surgical procedures therein, 10 evaluation, treatment, that type of thing. 11 Q. Okay. So let's skip to page 5. Under 12 research grant, do you see the first one listed 13 there talks about the UITN grant? And who provided 14 that grant money? 15 A. The National Institute of Health. 16 Q. Was it all from the National Institute of 17 Health, all of the grant money? 18 A. That's my understanding, yes. 19 Q. Okay. On page 6 -- let's skip to page 6, 20 Doctor. Well, no need. 21 On page 7, at the bottom, there's a 22 column -- or excuse me -- a heading listed invited 23 speeches, presentations. The first one begins in -- 24 that's listed is 1994. The last one listed, on page 25 9, is in 2001. Is this list up to date?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 28</p> <p>1 BY MR. CRONE: 2 Q. Well, I think I understand now. I think 3 you're saying that the average is 50 percent, 4 correct, but the range could be 10 -- as low as 10 5 up to 70? Is that fair? 6 A. Yeah. There's a lot of different numbers 7 reported in the literature and this is kind of an 8 average. That was my meaning here. 9 Q. Okay. I understand. 10 When thinking about trials or studies -- 11 so this question will apply to both. It's compound, 12 I understand that. We'll take it one at a time. 13 Would you agree that the results of any 14 given -- let's just say study first -- may vary 15 wildly based on methodology, just as a general 16 proposition? 17 A. I agree there can be variability based on 18 methodology. 19 Q. And would you agree to that same general 20 proposition with regard to trials? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And the third full paragraph on page 4, at 23 the second-to-last sentence of that, it starts with: 24 "Urinary incontinence is a prevalent condition with 25 significant medical, social, and psychological</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 27</p> <p>1 A. Probably not. This is from 2014. 2 Q. Okay. How many additional presentations 3 or speeches do you think you've given that aren't on 4 this list? 5 A. I don't think there's very many, but I'm 6 happy to provide you with an updated CV. 7 Q. That would be great. Thanks. 8 Okay. Let's go to page 4 of your expert 9 report, and that's Exhibit 2. Okay. The very first 10 sentence at the top of page 4 reads: "Urinary 11 incontinence affects up to 50 percent of women with 12 range of 10 to 70 percent." 13 What does that mean? 14 A. That means that a lot of women leak urine 15 on average. 16 Q. So up to 50 percent of women suffer from 17 leakage? 18 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 19 THE WITNESS: Well, that's a -- what I was 20 talking about there is there's an average. So 21 50 percent would be an average, but the range may be 22 10 to 70 percent. I think it -- I might understand 23 the semantics you're asking me. If it affects up to 24 50 percent, how can the range be 10 to 70 percent? 25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 29</p> <p>1 ramifications." 2 Do you see that sentence? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And then the next sentence says: "It is a 5 symptom and not a diagnosis and is seen in all age 6 groups." 7 What do you mean by it is a symptom and 8 not a diagnosis? 9 A. Well, there's a lot of different things 10 that can cause urinary incontinence. So the symptom 11 is leakage, but the cause is not the leakage. A lot 12 of different medical conditions can cause leakage, 13 if you will. 14 Q. Can you give just one example of that? 15 A. Well, I mean, let's say you have a 16 dementia patient that can't control her bladder. 17 She has urinary incontinence. 18 Q. So in your view, then, the patient suffers 19 from dementia and a symptom of that dementia is 20 urinary incontinence? 21 A. In that case, yes. 22 Q. Are there cases where urinary incontinence 23 is a diagnosis in and of itself? 24 A. Well, there's different types of urinary 25 incontinence. So there can be -- for example, a</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 30</p> <p>1 fistula or a hole in the bladder can cause</p> <p>2 incontinence. You can have a bladder that doesn't</p> <p>3 work where the patient has overflow incontinence; or</p> <p>4 you can have stress incontinence, which is leakage</p> <p>5 with an increasing intraabdominal pressure or</p> <p>6 non-function of the urethral sphincter; or you can</p> <p>7 have urge incontinence which can be neurologically</p> <p>8 based where the bladder is -- a common term is</p> <p>9 overactive bladder where the muscle contracts and</p> <p>10 urine is released without the patient wanting to</p> <p>11 release urine, in other words, incontinence instead</p> <p>12 of voiding.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So, Doctor, I think I understand.</p> <p>14 So in those instances -- let's take the fistula, for</p> <p>15 example. The fistula is the cause and the stress</p> <p>16 urinary -- or the incontinence is the symptom of the</p> <p>17 fistula?</p> <p>18 A. Exactly.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Skipping to page 5, the very last</p> <p>20 paragraph, second sentence -- this is under a</p> <p>21 heading that says nonsurgical options. You say:</p> <p>22 "Up to 50 percent of women may improve enough to</p> <p>23 forego surgical treatment initially. However,</p> <p>24 greater than 90 percent of these patients remain</p> <p>25 incontinent and greater than 60 percent may</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 32</p> <p>1 treatment in addition to a surgical treatment.</p> <p>2 Q. Sticking with that example, if a patient</p> <p>3 had SUI -- and SUI stands for stress urinary</p> <p>4 incontinence, correct?</p> <p>5 A. That's right.</p> <p>6 Q. If a patient had SUI and tried a</p> <p>7 nonsurgical procedure first, do you know what the</p> <p>8 success rates are for nonsurgical treatments of SUI?</p> <p>9 And by success rates, I mean both objective and</p> <p>10 subjective.</p> <p>11 A. I would say on average in the literature,</p> <p>12 at best, it would be 50/50. There is certainly some</p> <p>13 variability in success. And a lot of it depends on</p> <p>14 the degree of the problem and also associated</p> <p>15 conditions with the problem whether that would work</p> <p>16 or not, and that's why you have a wide range of</p> <p>17 variability.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you know what or which literature</p> <p>19 supports that opinion, the at best 50/50 success</p> <p>20 rate opinion?</p> <p>21 A. I can't point you to a specific document</p> <p>22 right off the top of my head.</p> <p>23 Q. And let's go to the next page, page 6, and</p> <p>24 start at the top. The letters A through G there,</p> <p>25 there you're listing nonsurgical options for SUI; is</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 31</p> <p>1 subsequently seek surgical management."</p> <p>2 Why is that the case?</p> <p>3 A. Well, nonsurgical management doesn't</p> <p>4 always work or it may work and then the patient gets</p> <p>5 worse and looks for another form of treatment. I</p> <p>6 think worsening incontinence is a complaint that</p> <p>7 people often come in with seeking options for</p> <p>8 treatment and they may move to a surgical treatment.</p> <p>9 Q. So a patient with incontinence would</p> <p>10 likely try a nonsurgical option prior to trying a</p> <p>11 surgical option?</p> <p>12 A. Well, certainly that's one of the options</p> <p>13 for the patient depending on the type of</p> <p>14 incontinence. Now, of course you know that a</p> <p>15 nonsurgical treatment, for example, for a fistula</p> <p>16 has a low chance to work. So I think you have to be</p> <p>17 specific about what the problem is to say whether</p> <p>18 the treatment would work or not.</p> <p>19 Q. Sure. Let's take stress urinary</p> <p>20 incontinence.</p> <p>21 A. Okay.</p> <p>22 Q. Would a nonsurgical option -- would it be</p> <p>23 appropriate to first try a nonsurgical option if a</p> <p>24 patient had SUI?</p> <p>25 A. That's certainly an appropriate initial</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 33</p> <p>1 that correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And that success rate we just discussed,</p> <p>4 50/50 with variability based on severity of the SUI,</p> <p>5 does that apply to all of these collectively?</p> <p>6 A. Well, it's based on variability of the</p> <p>7 severity of the incontinence as well as associated</p> <p>8 conditions, so it's difficult to define which ones</p> <p>9 it would work best in. But I don't believe any of</p> <p>10 them have a success rate in general over 50 percent.</p> <p>11 Q. And if the patient chooses a surgical</p> <p>12 option, would you agree that the goal, then, is to</p> <p>13 achieve long-term continence with low rates of</p> <p>14 complications related to the surgery?</p> <p>15 A. That would be optimal.</p> <p>16 Q. That would be optimal.</p> <p>17 A. Yeah, of course we want to perform</p> <p>18 procedures that work in the long term to maintain</p> <p>19 continence.</p> <p>20 Q. And so if you performed a procedure that</p> <p>21 provided the patient with long-term incontinence</p> <p>22 with low rates of complications, would you consider</p> <p>23 that procedure to be safe?</p> <p>24 MR. COMBS: Object to the form. John, I</p> <p>25 think you just accidentally misstated the question.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 34</p> <p>1 You might just want to rephrase it or restate it. 2 BY MR. CRONE: 3 Q. No. I'll clear it up. 4 A. What I heard was -- instead of continence 5 was a surgical procedure to give you incontinence. 6 Q. Oh, no. I'm sorry. I understand that's 7 never the goal. 8 (A discussion was held off the record.) 9 BY MR. CRONE: 10 Q. If the procedure produced long-term 11 continence, not incontinence, with low rates of 12 complications, would you consider that procedure to 13 be safe? 14 A. So a procedure with a low rate of 15 complications is very good. I'm not sure what you 16 mean by safe. All operations carry risk. 17 Q. Sure, they all carry risk. I'm trying 18 to -- what I'm trying to get at is how you define 19 safety. So let's go back to the question I asked. 20 You perform an SUI surgical procedure. 21 After that occurs, there's long-term continence, low 22 rates of complications. Would that be an 23 efficacious procedure? 24 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not exactly sure</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 36</p> <p>1 know that I -- you know, what I would want is a 2 procedure that has a low incidence of adverse 3 events. 4 Q. So if a procedure had a high incidence of 5 adverse events, that's not the type of procedure you 6 would want to perform? 7 A. Well, again, a procedure may have a large 8 number of possible adverse events as a surgical 9 procedure, and I think I would look at each one 10 individually to decide whether -- what I thought 11 about the procedure. 12 Q. Okay. And adverse events can be reported 13 to the FDA; is that correct? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Adverse events are studied and compiled in 16 the medical literature; is that correct? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. So with any given SUI procedure -- let's 19 take the TVT procedure specifically. You could look 20 at the TVT procedure, look at the medical literature 21 and determine the -- how many adverse events are 22 associated with that type of procedure; is that 23 correct? 24 A. I would look at the medical literature, 25 especially meta-analysis-type papers that could</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 35</p> <p>1 what you mean by that. 2 BY MR. CRONE: 3 Q. How do you define the term or the word 4 "efficacy"? 5 A. Well, if the procedure works or not would 6 be my understanding of efficacy. 7 Q. Okay. And so would a procedure that 8 produces long-term continence be efficacious under 9 your definition? 10 A. Well, I would like a procedure that gives 11 long-term -- of course the procedure we're doing is 12 for continence, to restore a patient to continence, 13 and the best procedure would be a procedure that 14 provided long-term continence. 15 Q. And if it did, that would be -- that would 16 signify that the procedure was efficacious? 17 A. Well, it would signify to me that it's a 18 good procedure that's achieving the result that we 19 are intending to try to obtain. 20 Q. So now I'll ask the safety question again. 21 How do you define whether or not a procedure is 22 safe, an SUI surgical procedure? 23 A. All procedures that we perform in stress 24 urinary incontinence that are surgical procedures 25 have known adverse events, whether -- so I don't</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 37</p> <p>1 provide me with adverse events that had been 2 reported in the medical literature and how often and 3 what they were. 4 Q. Sure. And if they -- if there were a 5 great number of adverse events, you would not want 6 to perform the procedure; is that correct? 7 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 BY MR. CRONE: 10 Q. Okay. 11 A. That's not correct. I don't know if 12 I'm -- 13 Q. No, I understand. So let's just -- let's 14 be more specific. 15 If there were adverse events in 5 percent 16 of all TVT procedures performed, would that be too 17 high for you to consider performing the TVT 18 procedure? 19 A. Well, I think you would look at the -- 20 what the adverse events are and you would compare it 21 to current procedures that are also done for the 22 procedure -- or the other procedures that are done 23 for surgical treatment, say, for the same or similar 24 patient and decide what you thought about the 25 procedure as compared to the current surgical</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 38</p> <p>1 treatment of that problem.</p> <p>2 Q. So what types of adverse events would you</p> <p>3 look for?</p> <p>4 A. Well, I think the best thing for TVT would</p> <p>5 be to refer you to the Schimpf meta-analysis to look</p> <p>6 at the different adverse events that can occur or</p> <p>7 that have been studied in the medical literature and</p> <p>8 their incidence as compared to other procedures.</p> <p>9 Q. Sure, but the question I'm asking is when</p> <p>10 you're doing this analysis, what types of adverse</p> <p>11 events do you look for?</p> <p>12 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Well, again, in this</p> <p>14 situation, I would refer to large databases that</p> <p>15 have looked at large numbers of patients rather than</p> <p>16 an individual experience. I mean, I can talk about</p> <p>17 my experience, but it's better -- I think it's much</p> <p>18 better decision making to look at the current</p> <p>19 medical literature and compare it with your</p> <p>20 experience.</p> <p>21 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And what types of adverse events</p> <p>23 does the medical literature report with -- just in</p> <p>24 relation to the TVT product or the TVT procedure?</p> <p>25 A. Well, if you look at the Schimpf</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 40</p> <p>1 just gave me, which ones are serious? I think you</p> <p>2 used the word "serious." If I'm mischaracterizing</p> <p>3 that, I apologize.</p> <p>4 A. Well, I don't mean to downplay any adverse</p> <p>5 event. Of course anything that happens with a</p> <p>6 patient we take seriously. But certainly there are</p> <p>7 things that are more difficult to treat. For</p> <p>8 example, bowel injury would be a very significant</p> <p>9 injury.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Then was it your testimony that</p> <p>11 there isn't a rate of adverse events with regard to</p> <p>12 the TVT procedure at which you would say I can no</p> <p>13 longer perform this procedure generally, it's always</p> <p>14 a case- or a patient-specific analysis?</p> <p>15 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Well, I think generally you</p> <p>17 would look at a patient. And one of the ways you</p> <p>18 may decide is depending on associated pathologies or</p> <p>19 conditions if a procedure in that particular</p> <p>20 patient -- depending on what other procedures you're</p> <p>21 doing, is one procedure better than the other. No</p> <p>22 surgical procedure is without surgical risk.</p> <p>23 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>24 Q. And are there any surgical procedures that</p> <p>25 are with so much risk that you would never perform</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39</p> <p>1 meta-analysis, the things that are reported</p> <p>2 comparing the different types of procedures for</p> <p>3 surgery for stress urinary incontinence, they report</p> <p>4 what you could consider -- actually, they report</p> <p>5 a lot of different adverse events. Some could be</p> <p>6 considered minor; some could be considered more</p> <p>7 major.</p> <p>8 But the things that they reported in</p> <p>9 general were urinary tract infection, bowel injury,</p> <p>10 nerve injury, ureteral injury, vascular injury,</p> <p>11 overactive bladder, urgency, retention of urine</p> <p>12 lasting less than six weeks, retention of urine</p> <p>13 lasting greater than six weeks, return to operating</p> <p>14 room for urinary retention, groin pain, leg pain,</p> <p>15 bladder perforation, urethral perforation, vaginal</p> <p>16 perforation, deep vein thrombosis.</p> <p>17 And in that, they compared -- when</p> <p>18 possible, they compared that to the different</p> <p>19 procedures that are currently or recently performed</p> <p>20 for the treatment of stress incontinence, which</p> <p>21 included procedures with mesh and included</p> <p>22 procedures that did not use mesh, and they compared</p> <p>23 the adverse events to each other or looked at the</p> <p>24 differences or provided the differences.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. In your mind, off of that list you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 41</p> <p>1 them?</p> <p>2 A. Are we talking about with urinary</p> <p>3 incontinence?</p> <p>4 Q. Urinary incontinence, yes.</p> <p>5 A. Well, historically there have been over</p> <p>6 100 procedures described in the literature for</p> <p>7 treatment of urinary incontinence. I certainly have</p> <p>8 not performed 100 different procedures. The</p> <p>9 procedures that are current I believe are safe and</p> <p>10 have good outcomes and long-lasting results and are</p> <p>11 acceptable treatments for patients with stress</p> <p>12 incontinence.</p> <p>13 Q. What's your basis for the opinion that</p> <p>14 historically there have been 100 procedures to treat</p> <p>15 SUI?</p> <p>16 A. My reading and general understanding of</p> <p>17 published literature, textbooks, historical</p> <p>18 perspectives.</p> <p>19 Q. Are you familiar with the Monarc --</p> <p>20 A. I am.</p> <p>21 Q. -- product?</p> <p>22 Would you use the Monarc product today?</p> <p>23 A. I don't use the Monarc product.</p> <p>24 Q. And why is that?</p> <p>25 A. Well, first, I was never trained with the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 42</p> <p>1 Monarc product. And personally, I like the</p> <p>2 inside-out procedure. So I just have never used the</p> <p>3 Monarc. That was part of the TOMUS study. But my</p> <p>4 choice was not to be trained in that and not use the</p> <p>5 Monarc.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Is the Monarc still on the market?</p> <p>7 A. I don't use the Monarc, so I'm -- I'm not</p> <p>8 sure of the answer to that question.</p> <p>9 Q. Are you aware of any products that were</p> <p>10 designed to treat SUI that are -- were introduced to</p> <p>11 the market and subsequently taken off of the market?</p> <p>12 A. I know there are some. I don't know that</p> <p>13 I could give you a complete list.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you know why they were taken off the</p> <p>15 market?</p> <p>16 A. Some of the -- some of the sling materials</p> <p>17 that were used early on were found not to be good</p> <p>18 materials, such as Gore-Tex. Some weaves of mesh</p> <p>19 such as -- one that comes to mind is the ObTape --</p> <p>20 were removed from the market for reasons of not</p> <p>21 working well, more complications.</p> <p>22 Q. They were removed for safety reasons,</p> <p>23 correct?</p> <p>24 A. That's my understanding, yes. And I</p> <p>25 should add some of the biologics were removed as</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 44</p> <p>1 Q. Prior to the TOMUS study being conducted,</p> <p>2 was there a paper published in the medical</p> <p>3 literature explaining the need for the TOMUS study?</p> <p>4 A. Well, the UITN talked about the need for</p> <p>5 the TOMUS study to compare the two approaches to see</p> <p>6 if there was a difference.</p> <p>7 Q. And was that study called TOMUS: Design</p> <p>8 and Methodology published in 2008? Does that seem</p> <p>9 familiar?</p> <p>10 A. That's -- that was a published publication</p> <p>11 to describe how the study was performed.</p> <p>12 Q. Were you involved in drafting that?</p> <p>13 A. I was on the TOMUS committee -- or I mean</p> <p>14 I'm on the urinary treatment -- the UITN, I was a</p> <p>15 founding member of that, and I was involved in</p> <p>16 designing the TOMUS study.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. So in that 2008 paper titled Design</p> <p>18 and Methodology, you would agree with the statement</p> <p>19 in there that said: "There are currently no</p> <p>20 adequately powered trials with sufficient length of</p> <p>21 follow-up comparing the efficacy or safety of the</p> <p>22 transobturator and retropubic MUS"?</p> <p>23 MR. COMBS: Can one of you two repeat that</p> <p>24 question?</p> <p>25 MR. CRONE: Sure. I'll repeat it.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 43</p> <p>1 well.</p> <p>2 Q. You mentioned the TOMUS study a minute</p> <p>3 ago. Can you give a general overview of what the</p> <p>4 TOMUS study was and what it was designed for?</p> <p>5 A. The TOMUS study was designed to look at</p> <p>6 equivalence of retropubic versus obturator slings.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you know what products they looked at?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. What products?</p> <p>10 A. TVT, TVT-O, and Monarc.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you know what general conclusions the</p> <p>12 TOMUS study reached?</p> <p>13 A. That they were fairly equivalent.</p> <p>14 Q. Have there been any meta-analyses</p> <p>15 performed on the TOMUS study?</p> <p>16 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: You can't really perform a</p> <p>18 meta-analysis on the TOMUS study.</p> <p>19 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>20 Q. Let me ask it a different way. Are you</p> <p>21 aware of any -- in the medical literature of anybody</p> <p>22 performing a re-analysis of the results of the TOMUS</p> <p>23 study?</p> <p>24 A. Well, there certainly were follow-up</p> <p>25 studies or longer-term analyses of the TOMUS data.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 45</p> <p>1 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>2 Q. So as a basis for the need for the TOMUS</p> <p>3 study and the Design and Methodology paper published</p> <p>4 in 2008 by the UITN, would you agree with the</p> <p>5 statement that: "There are no current" -- "There</p> <p>6 are currently no adequately powered trials with</p> <p>7 sufficient length of follow-up comparing the</p> <p>8 efficacy or safety of the transobturator and</p> <p>9 retropubic MUS"?</p> <p>10 A. If you don't mind, can I look at the paper</p> <p>11 with that sentence and just see what context it's in</p> <p>12 in that paragraph?</p> <p>13 Q. Unfortunately, I can't find it in the</p> <p>14 study and will run short on time. So let me just</p> <p>15 ask the question simpler.</p> <p>16 In 2008 did you hold the opinion that more</p> <p>17 studies were needed on the safety and efficacy of</p> <p>18 the transobturator and retropubic approaches to SUI</p> <p>19 repair?</p> <p>20 A. Well, I would say as a UITN investigator,</p> <p>21 we're constantly investigating, trying to figure out</p> <p>22 what sort of treatments were best for urinary</p> <p>23 incontinence, stress urinary incontinence, and we</p> <p>24 tried to add to the literature comparing different</p> <p>25 treatments.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 46</p> <p>1 You know, the literature really is -- for 2 this particular procedure is huge. There are 3 probably over 2,000 articles published for TVT, 4 TVT-O-type procedures. What we tried to add to the 5 literature was a large randomized controlled trial 6 which adds to the smaller trials that had been done 7 before the TOMUS study, and that was to try to 8 increase our knowledge of the two procedures to see 9 if they were equivalent.</p> <p>10 Q. But in 2008, before that large randomized 11 controlled trial performed by UITN did you believe 12 that there were -- there were not at the time, 2008, 13 adequately powered trials to study safety and 14 efficacy of the midurethral sling procedures 15 available at the time?</p> <p>16 A. Yeah, we performed the TOMUS study through 17 an RFA from the NIH to look at treatments for 18 urinary incontinence, which included mesh as well as 19 non-mesh treatments. And that's why our first study 20 was with Burch and fascial sling. Our second study 21 was with the mesh slings. The idea was to add to 22 the medical literature a large randomized controlled 23 trial that was very robust to try to test the theory 24 of whether these procedures were equal or not.</p> <p>25 And as part of that study, one of the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 48</p> <p>1 We felt that our study answered another 2 question in the performance of these procedures and 3 that, if you will, the procedures were equivalent.</p> <p>4 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>5 Q. And so were those prior --</p> <p>6 A. Relatively equivalent.</p> <p>7 Q. Were those prior trials or studies 8 adequately powered?</p> <p>9 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at the 11 studies. But, I mean, we're talking about 2008, 12 which is eight years ago, so I don't want to 13 misspeak and say there was something or wasn't 14 something prior to 2008. But certainly in 2008, 15 what we did added to the medical literature.</p> <p>16 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>17 Q. Well, you're a founding member of UITN, 18 correct?</p> <p>19 A. That's correct.</p> <p>20 Q. So this 2008 paper that came out, you 21 would have reviewed it?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And if you didn't agree with an opinion 24 expressed in it, you would have expressed your 25 disagreement?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 47</p> <p>1 things we looked at were adverse events to try to 2 decide -- or actually to see what adverse events 3 occurred with a large group of treating physicians. 4 I believe there was 53, something like that. And 5 that's what we tried to do was to add literature to 6 the medical science and literature at that time 7 regarding those procedures.</p> <p>8 Q. And I understand that portion of your 9 answer, but the question I'm asking is much more 10 specific.</p> <p>11 So at the time, 2008, before the UITN 12 randomized controlled study was performed, was one 13 of the reasons that UITN wanted to perform that 14 procedure due to the fact that there weren't 15 adequately powered trials on the SUI products?</p> <p>16 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: Well, we powered our trial 18 to answer a specific question regarding this. There 19 were a significant number of trials in the medical 20 literature performed by doctors from all over the 21 world as well as registries for the procedure. And 22 just like any other procedure, we're always looking 23 and testing hypotheses to see if there's something 24 better or how we're doing. That's what it was 25 designed to do.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 49</p> <p>1 A. We reviewed the paper as a group and came 2 to an agreement of what to publish, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. The same 2008 paper also says: 4 "New surgical therapies for the treatment of stress 5 urinary incontinence are developed and offered as a 6 standard of care without adequate scientific 7 evaluation of their effectiveness or safety."</p> <p>8 Do you agree with that statement as of 9 2008?</p> <p>10 A. Again, I'd like to look at the paper to 11 see what the context of that sentence --</p> <p>12 Q. Well, let's set the paper aside. In 2008, 13 did you think that new surgical treatments for SUI 14 were being introduced into the marketplace without 15 adequate scientific evaluation of their safety or 16 efficacy?</p> <p>17 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Well, I think that the 19 procedures certainly had studies -- I mean, in this 20 paper, we're talking about TVT, TVT-O, and Monarc. 21 And we're talking about procedures that had been 22 done before. And subsequently some of those 23 products have been removed from the market. And 24 certainly they had some problems that weren't known 25 at the time of their introduction. And as surgeons</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 50</p> <p>1 used these products, such as ObTape, Gore-Tex, we 2 found problems with it and they were removed from 3 the market. 4 BY MR. CRONE: 5 Q. When is the appropriate time to 6 investigate for problems with a product? Let's take 7 the TVT product specifically. Prior to introduction 8 to the marketplace or after? 9 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 10 THE WITNESS: In general, products, drugs, 11 medical treatments have to be tested in patients to 12 figure out whether they can be used in patients. So 13 you would try the product in a clinical trial, if 14 you will, where you have a hypothesis and you test 15 it as far as the treatment goes. Some products are 16 comparable to previous products and may be used on 17 the market without going through a clinical trial 18 like that. 19 BY MR. CRONE: 20 Q. Okay. 21 A. Although, I mean, everything is really 22 looked at. 23 Q. And so if I proffer to you that in 2008 24 the UITN thought SUI products were being introduced 25 into the marketplace, specifically the TVT, TVT-O,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 52</p> <p>1 incontinence. For that reason, we started with the 2 more historical procedures which were non-mesh -- 3 that's the fascial sling and the Burch 4 colposuspension -- because these were two procedures 5 that historically had been done for -- well, the 6 Burch for probably around 50 years and the sling in 7 some form for a hundred years. 8 And we didn't feel that those -- that 9 those two procedures had been adequately 10 investigated for outcomes, adverse events, and 11 treatments of women. So then we moved to -- once we 12 did that to establish a baseline, we moved to the 13 fascial sling, which is the TVT and TVT-O, which had 14 a significant body of literature at the time, but we 15 felt that the size of our study and the power of our 16 study would show that -- I don't mean show. What I 17 mean is we wanted to try to figure out whether the 18 procedures were equivalent and then look at adverse 19 events and problems that may occur. 20 Q. Okay. If I can -- I want to stop there 21 and ask a question. 22 A. Oh. 23 Q. And so the study ultimately showed that 24 they were equivalent? 25 A. Relatively.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 51</p> <p>1 and the Monarc, without adequate prior scientific 2 evaluation of their effectiveness or safety, do you 3 agree with the UITN's position? 4 MR. COMBS: Object to form and foundation. 5 THE WITNESS: Well, first, as I've already 6 said, I was part of the UITN. 7 BY MR. CRONE: 8 Q. That's correct. 9 A. So I do agree with the UITN. We felt at 10 the time that the best and the safest products at 11 the time were the retropubic sling -- that was 12 TVT -- the obturator sling -- that was TVT-O -- and 13 the Monarc sling -- that was an obturator sling as 14 well -- were the products that we would test. 15 The UITN was made up of 53 physicians, the 16 majority of which were fellowship trained in pelvic 17 floor procedures and medical treatment of patients. 18 Half were urogynecologists and half were 19 gynecologists who came into the room with a lot of 20 different ideas about how to treat patients with 21 stress urinary incontinence. 22 We looked at the different procedures that 23 were available to patients and decided what areas 24 that we needed to try to investigate to add to the 25 literature and improve the treatment of urinary</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 53</p> <p>1 Q. Relatively. And -- 2 A. I mean, there's some differences. 3 There's -- the nature of the procedures are 4 different, so the adverse events would be a little 5 different. 6 Q. Sure. But I mean, when you say 7 "equivalent," do you mean in terms of safety, 8 efficacy, adverse events? What type of equivalence 9 are you referring to? 10 A. Well, I think all those: safety, efficacy. 11 The adverse events, again, are different. The TOMUS 12 group came out with a paper on the adverse events 13 that occurred during TOMUS, and that information is 14 incorporated in the Schimpf meta-analysis -- 15 Q. Sure. 16 A. -- for adverse events. 17 Q. Do you know a Dr. Linda Brubaker? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. What is your opinion of Dr. Linda 20 Brubaker's professional abilities as a medical 21 doctor? 22 A. I have a very high opinion of 23 Dr. Brubaker. 24 Q. Are you aware of a -- of a paper she 25 published titled Adverse Events over Two Years After</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 54</p> <p>1 Retropubic or Transobturator Midurethral Sling 2 Surgery: Findings From The TOMUS Study? 3 A. I am aware of that paper. 4 Q. It's on your reliance list, isn't it? 5 A. I believe it is. 6 Q. Okay. In that -- in Dr. Brubaker's paper, 7 she concludes that adverse events are common after 8 midurethral sling implants after looking at data 9 from the TOMUS study. Do you agree with that 10 conclusion? 11 A. Again, I'd like to look at the paper to 12 see exactly the context of that sentence and the 13 paragraph that it's written. 14 Q. Well, you're aware of the results of the 15 TOMUS study? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You cite to them in your expert report, 18 correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. So do you not know enough about the TOMUS 21 study to give an opinion today as to whether or not 22 adverse events are common after MUS procedures? 23 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 24 THE WITNESS: Well, I think the better way 25 to answer that question would be there are adverse</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 56</p> <p>1 Q. Well, Dr. Brubaker said that 12 percent of 2 the 42 percent of all study participants had 3 experienced serious adverse events. So she's saying 4 42 percent experienced adverse events. 12 percent 5 of those experienced serious adverse events. Do you 6 agree with that conclusion? 7 MR. COMBS: Yeah, Dr. Johnson, you've got 8 that paper in your med lit binder if you want to 9 look at it. It's at well-powered RCT's tab 3. 10 BY MR. CRONE: 11 Q. And while you're looking for that in your 12 notebook, Dr. Johnson, the serious adverse events 13 were defined in the TOMUS study, weren't they? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. To help speed it along, I can 16 direct you to page 3 under results, first paragraph, 17 third full sentence that starts: "Over a period of 18 24 months." That's what I'm looking at there. 19 A. Page 3? 20 Q. Page 3, correct. 21 A. And which paragraph are you at? 22 Q. You know, we have different page numbers, 23 so that's not -- it's under the results. It's near 24 the beginning. It's under a heading called results. 25 MR. COMBS: It's page 4, right here</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 55</p> <p>1 events that occur after either the TVT or the TVT-O 2 or the Monarc procedure. And by common, that means 3 all the different adverse events that can occur. 4 And I think that it's probably more helpful for me 5 to look at the -- how often they occur and what 6 the -- what the adverse event is. 7 BY MR. CRONE: 8 Q. Dr. Brubaker also says: "Over a period of 9 24 months, 42 percent of all study participants 10 experienced at least one adverse event, including 11 12 percent that experienced at least one serious 12 adverse event." 13 Do you disagree with that conclusion? 14 A. Well, that was a conclusion based on all 15 the adverse events that they looked at. Some of the 16 nonserious adverse events could be things like 17 urinary tract infections or some pain 18 postoperatively which resolves, which we know with 19 every procedure you get some postoperative pain. 20 There are some more serious adverse events that are 21 events that will resolve as the patient recovers. 22 So to -- I think really that you need to 23 look at the paper and look at the adverse events 24 that you're talking about when you make that 25 statement -- a blanket statement like that.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 57</p> <p>1 (indicating). 2 MR. CRONE: Thanks, Phil. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, in this paper, they 4 describe -- they classify the serious adverse events 5 versus all adverse events. And, again, there 6 were -- you know, there was an incidence of adverse 7 events, but the incidence of each adverse event was 8 really low. And a lot of these adverse events are 9 events that you can see with any surgical procedure, 10 so they're not specific to a mesh procedure -- 11 BY MR. CRONE: 12 Q. Well, only -- 13 A. -- not all of them. 14 Q. Well, only mesh procedures were involved 15 in the TOMUS study, though, correct? 16 A. Right, but the TOMUS procedures are 17 surgical procedures of the pelvic floor. So these 18 adverse events occur with any procedure for the 19 treatment of stress incontinence. 20 Q. Sure. But the TOMUS study only looked at 21 procedures involving TVT, TVT-O, and Monarc, 22 correct? I mean, the TOMUS study didn't study all 23 pelvic floor procedures? 24 A. No, but they looked at adverse events that 25 occur with all pelvic floor procedures.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 58</p> <p>1 Q. I don't understand, actually.</p> <p>2 A. Okay.</p> <p>3 Q. I thought the TOMUS study looked at the</p> <p>4 TVT, the TVT-O, and Monarc procedures and collected</p> <p>5 data therefrom.</p> <p>6 A. They did. We -- the adverse events that</p> <p>7 you look at are adverse events that can occur with</p> <p>8 any surgical procedure. And maybe I could give you</p> <p>9 an example --</p> <p>10 Q. I think that would help.</p> <p>11 A. -- that may clarify it for you.</p> <p>12 So, for example, pulmonary embolus occurs</p> <p>13 with any surgical procedure, postoperative</p> <p>14 bleeding --</p> <p>15 Q. Sure. Let me stop you there.</p> <p>16 But the data that was collected from the</p> <p>17 TOMUS study didn't look at any other procedures,</p> <p>18 correct? So if a pulmonary embolism occurred in a</p> <p>19 heart surgery, it's not even collected in the data</p> <p>20 in the TOMUS study; is that fair?</p> <p>21 A. No. No, it's not fair. Just looking at</p> <p>22 the list of serious adverse events that were</p> <p>23 collected in the TOMUS study, these are -- a lot of</p> <p>24 these are events or adverse events that can occur in</p> <p>25 any surgical procedure. And that was one of the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 60</p> <p>1 talking about what the data is being compared to.</p> <p>2 I'm talking about the data from those procedures</p> <p>3 performed in the TOMUS study.</p> <p>4 A. Yeah, so a lot of these adverse events</p> <p>5 were adverse events that are known to occur with any</p> <p>6 surgery.</p> <p>7 Q. Sure.</p> <p>8 A. And there are some adverse events in here</p> <p>9 that are specific for mesh procedures -- sling</p> <p>10 procedures such as TVT or TVT-O, but not all the</p> <p>11 adverse events are specific for TVT, TVT-O. But in</p> <p>12 the study, they looked at all the adverse events</p> <p>13 that occurred whether they're specific for TVT-O,</p> <p>14 TVT, or not.</p> <p>15 Q. Yeah, that makes sense. So let's just --</p> <p>16 let me give you a hypothetical.</p> <p>17 Let's say Dr. Brubaker looks at the</p> <p>18 results from the TOMUS study and she sees three</p> <p>19 bladder perforations occurred among all trial</p> <p>20 participants. That would mean those three bladder</p> <p>21 perforations occurred in either a TVT procedure, a</p> <p>22 TVT-O procedure, or a Monarc procedure, correct?</p> <p>23 A. In this study, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And so you have no reason, then, to</p> <p>25 disagree with Dr. Brubaker's conclusions in her</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 59</p> <p>1 reasons that we looked at this, is to see if the</p> <p>2 rate of occurrence is similar to other procedures.</p> <p>3 Q. Sure. Sure. So you're comparing those</p> <p>4 rates, but the data collected from the TOMUS</p> <p>5 procedure -- let's just -- let's just ask a few</p> <p>6 specific questions.</p> <p>7 In the TOMUS study, no heart procedures</p> <p>8 were performed, correct?</p> <p>9 MR. COMBS: I didn't hear your question.</p> <p>10 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>11 Q. No procedures involving the heart were</p> <p>12 performed in the TOMUS trial?</p> <p>13 A. That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And, in fact, the only procedures</p> <p>15 that were performed in that randomized controlled</p> <p>16 trial were procedures relating to TVT implantation,</p> <p>17 TVT-O implantation, and Monarc implantation,</p> <p>18 correct?</p> <p>19 A. For the slings that were --</p> <p>20 Q. That's correct.</p> <p>21 A. Just for the slings.</p> <p>22 Q. And so any data regarding adverse events</p> <p>23 and serious adverse events from those procedures</p> <p>24 came from naturally a TVT procedure, a TVT-O</p> <p>25 procedure, or a Monarc procedure, correct? I'm not</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 61</p> <p>1 paper that we're looking at now and discussing now?</p> <p>2 A. Well, again, when she's talking about</p> <p>3 common, the majority of the adverse events that</p> <p>4 occurred were adverse events that can occur with any</p> <p>5 procedure, and because of that, they occurred with</p> <p>6 this procedure, if that --</p> <p>7 Q. Yeah, I'll be more specific. I think that</p> <p>8 will be more helpful.</p> <p>9 So you don't disagree when she says 253</p> <p>10 out of the 597 study participants experienced at</p> <p>11 least one adverse event?</p> <p>12 A. If you look at the serious adverse events</p> <p>13 and the adverse events data, that's the percentage,</p> <p>14 but, again, the percentage is not regarding adverse</p> <p>15 events that are specific for TVT, TVT-O. And the</p> <p>16 majority of the adverse events occurred in the less</p> <p>17 serious category, which are not specific.</p> <p>18 Q. But you agree that 253 adverse events</p> <p>19 occurred?</p> <p>20 A. As listed in the SAEs and the AEs data</p> <p>21 table.</p> <p>22 Q. And that would constitute 42 percent of</p> <p>23 the study participants, correct? I can get a</p> <p>24 calculator out if you want to check her math.</p> <p>25 A. No. That's what was reported for adverse</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 62</p> <p>1 events, which included all adverse events, serious 2 and nonserious. 3 Q. And so, then, that would make adverse 4 events common among these procedures. Do you agree 5 with that? 6 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 7 BY MR. CRONE: 8 Q. I'll be more specific. 9 As adverse events are defined in the 10 study, if they occur in 42 percent of the procedures 11 performed in the study, that would mean adverse 12 events are common. That's Dr. Brubaker's 13 conclusion. I'm asking if you agree with that. 14 A. I agree with that in respect to the 15 adverse events as described. And the adverse events 16 that are described, the majority of them are adverse 17 events that can occur with any surgical procedure, 18 so they're not -- I just want to make it clear that 19 we're not talking about specific adverse events to 20 the mesh slings. It could include Burch, 21 pubovaginal. A lot of these adverse events occur 22 with all different procedures. 23 Q. Sure. I think what you're saying -- and 24 correct me if I'm wrong -- is that these adverse 25 events aren't unique to the mesh slings, they can</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 64</p> <p>1 read the first sentence -- the TVT procedure has 2 been rapidly accepted and has become the gold 3 standard for treatment of stress urinary 4 incontinence." 5 Do you see that sentence? 6 A. I do. 7 Q. What does gold standard mean? 8 A. That would be the most commonly performed 9 procedure for treatment of urinary incontinence or 10 the most widely accepted common treatment. 11 Q. So if there's treatment for SUI -- and in 12 this case, we're referring to the TVT treatment -- 13 if it's the most common or the most widely accepted, 14 then it's the gold standard? 15 A. It's the most commonly performed procedure 16 in the world, TVT is. 17 Q. So that makes it the gold standard? 18 A. I think so. 19 Q. Okay. Any other factors that would make a 20 procedure gold standard or not? 21 A. Well, I think this procedure was looked at 22 where they compared it to -- and this would include 23 TVT, TVT-O procedures. So they looked at -- 24 Q. I'm only interested, just so you know, in 25 the TVT procedure.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 63</p> <p>1 happen with other procedures; is that fair? 2 A. That's correct. 3 Q. Okay. 4 (A discussion was held off the record.) 5 (A recess was taken.) 6 BY MR. CRONE: 7 Q. Dr. Johnson, could you turn to page 11 of 8 your expert report, which is Exhibit 2. The very 9 last paragraph, first sentence reads: "TVT was 10 introduced in the United States by Ethicon in 1998 11 after receiving 510 clearance by the FDA." 12 Do you see that sentence? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. What is 510 clearance -- or excuse me -- 15 510(k) clearance? 16 A. I'm not an expert on government forms, but 17 my general understanding is that's what you go 18 through with the FDA to introduce a product to the 19 market. 20 Q. Okay. So you don't have any experience in 21 assisting medical device manufacturers with 22 obtaining 510(k) clearance? 23 A. I don't. 24 Q. Let's skip to page 13, the first full 25 paragraph that starts with: "Since 2000" -- I'll</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 65</p> <p>1 A. Right. 2 Q. So -- 3 A. I mean, but we just talked about that the 4 TOMUS compared the two and they were relatively 5 equivalent. That's the only reason I bring that up. 6 Q. I understand. 7 A. But I understand. 8 So it's the most commonly performed 9 procedure for stress incontinence in the world. 10 It's been approved by -- or endorsed by all 11 professional organizations that look at pelvic floor 12 treatment. It's the most studied procedure probably 13 in history regarding treatment of urinary 14 incontinence. 15 Q. And what's your basis for that opinion, 16 that it's the most studied procedure in history for 17 the treatment of urinary incontinence? 18 A. Well, there's over 2,000 studies that have 19 been -- or are in the literature regarding -- 20 Q. Are those all listed in your reliance 21 report? 22 A. I don't know that there's 2,000 listed in 23 there, but that's my reading of historical 24 perspective of treatment of urinary incontinence. 25 Q. How many studies are there just on the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 66</p> <p>1 TVT? Or let's broaden that a little bit. At least 2 looking at -- how many studies are there that look 3 at the TVT's safety as a primary end point? 4 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 5 THE WITNESS: Well, I talk about in here 6 that there's more than 100 randomized controlled 7 trials. I don't think that I can give you an exact 8 number on that, but most randomized controlled 9 trials would look at adverse outcomes of a 10 procedure. 11 BY MR. CRONE: 12 Q. But trials have a primary objective 13 usually, correct? 14 A. They do. 15 Q. And then they may have secondary 16 objectives; is that your understanding? 17 A. Much as the adverse event paper for TOMUS 18 was secondary. 19 Q. Sure. Sure. 20 And so how many TVT studies, if you know, 21 studied TVT with safety as the primary end point or 22 outcome for the study? 23 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 24 THE WITNESS: I can't -- I can't answer 25 that. I don't know the answer to that question.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 68</p> <p>1 that we perform these trials in the literature, we 2 look for the outcome of treatment. And then with 3 that, what you would call a secondary would be 4 adverse outcomes that occur with that treatment. 5 But generally, we wouldn't design it the 6 other way around. But you're still looking at the 7 same questions if you reverse those, if you will. 8 BY MR. CRONE: 9 Q. Okay. I understand your answer. 10 Are you aware of two societies, AUGS and 11 SUFU? 12 A. I am. 13 Q. Okay. And you know what those acronyms 14 stand for -- 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. -- AUGS and SUFU? 17 In your expert opinion, you cite their 18 position statement on TVT as basis for your ultimate 19 conclusion that TVT is safe; is that correct? 20 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 21 BY MR. CRONE: 22 Q. And I can direct you to the bottom of page 23 14 of your expert report. You also cite to some 24 other societies. I'm just asking about AUGS and 25 SUFU specifically.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 67</p> <p>1 BY MR. CRONE: 2 Q. Do you know -- 3 A. I would say most studies look at adverse 4 outcomes. 5 Q. But not as a primary outcome? 6 A. Well, you know, usually when you're -- 7 usually when you're doing a study, you're looking at 8 the outcome that you expect for treatment -- 9 Q. So you're looking at -- 10 A. -- and then associated with that would be 11 adverse outcomes. 12 Q. And I didn't mean to interrupt. I'm 13 sorry. 14 So you're looking primarily at subjective 15 and objective cure rates, correct, as a primary 16 outcome? 17 A. When you're performing the procedure. And 18 then associated with that would be adverse outcomes. 19 Q. Okay. So you're not aware -- of these 100 20 studies on TVT that you cite here, you're not aware 21 if even a single one looked at safety as a primary 22 outcome rather than objective and subjective cure 23 rates? 24 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: Well, I think just the way</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 69</p> <p>1 And I'm not asking you to actually look at 2 the position statement, just the bottom of page 14 3 of your expert report. I'm asking you if the 4 purpose of your citation to AUGS and SUFU is that 5 their statements support your conclusions in this 6 expert report that the TVT is a safe product. 7 A. They do. 8 Q. Okay. Who funds the operations of AUGS? 9 A. I just know that I pay dues as a member. 10 I would assume that that's -- I'm not aware of the 11 financials. 12 Q. The same with SUFU? 13 A. Well, I'm not a member of SUFU, but I -- 14 Q. A member of AUGS? 15 A. -- assume it's the same. 16 Q. Do you know who drafted the AUGS 17 statement? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Do you know who drafted the SUFU 20 statement? 21 MR. COMBS: Object to the form. 22 BY MR. CRONE: 23 Q. And just to be clear, I'm referring to the 24 statements that you cite in your expert report. Not 25 any statement drafted by AUGS, just the ones you</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 70</p> <p>1 cite.</p> <p>2 A. Each statement has the drafters listed at</p> <p>3 the end.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. If those drafters were all mesh</p> <p>5 manufacturer consultants, would it change your</p> <p>6 opinion as to the objectivity of those statements?</p> <p>7 MR. COMBS: Object to form and foundation.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: My reading of the statements</p> <p>9 is that they're based on the medical literature.</p> <p>10 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>11 Q. Sure. And that's not my question. My</p> <p>12 question is if you learn that all of the -- that the</p> <p>13 drafters of those statements were all consultants</p> <p>14 for mesh manufacturers, would you question their</p> <p>15 objectivity?</p> <p>16 MR. COMBS: Object to form and foundation.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: I think what I would do is</p> <p>18 read the statement and see if they were based on the</p> <p>19 medical literature, such as --</p> <p>20 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>21 Q. But you've already read the statements.</p> <p>22 A. Yes, I have.</p> <p>23 Q. And we already know that you agree with</p> <p>24 the conclusions in those statements. I'm asking if</p> <p>25 you found out -- if I just proffer to you today that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 72</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Is it your opinion that that mesh is</p> <p>3 lightweight?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you know who Dr. Mang Chen is?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you know who Dr. Brigitte Hellhammer</p> <p>8 is?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. If I told you that she was an Ethicon</p> <p>11 employee and that on September 1st, 2013 in a</p> <p>12 deposition she stated that the TVT mesh is</p> <p>13 heavyweight, would you disagree with that?</p> <p>14 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at the</p> <p>16 paper and see what you're talking about.</p> <p>17 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>18 Q. She stated that the TVT mesh is</p> <p>19 heavyweight. Do you disagree with her?</p> <p>20 A. I'd have to look and see -- I don't know</p> <p>21 what you're referring to or what sort of --</p> <p>22 Q. Well, you just testified that the TVT mesh</p> <p>23 is lightweight. What's the basis for that opinion?</p> <p>24 A. That's the description of the mesh.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. So if an Ethicon doctor said it was</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 71</p> <p>1 the authors of those statements are all mesh</p> <p>2 manufacturer consultants, would that lead you to</p> <p>3 question their objectivity in drafting those</p> <p>4 statements?</p> <p>5 A. Well, the -- each statement is provided</p> <p>6 with the medical literature that it's based on,</p> <p>7 which is not -- which is what is used to make those</p> <p>8 conclusions. And I'm familiar with this literature.</p> <p>9 And that's why I agree with it regardless of what</p> <p>10 the authors do with any company that they work with.</p> <p>11 I think that the -- this is a statement that's not</p> <p>12 based on one person's opinion.</p> <p>13 Q. Well, if you author a medical article or</p> <p>14 conduct a trial, something that's going to be</p> <p>15 published, and you had a potential conflict of</p> <p>16 interest, you would disclose that, wouldn't you?</p> <p>17 A. I would disclose that, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. And was there any sort of disclosure in</p> <p>19 the AUGS and SUFU statements about potential</p> <p>20 conflicts of interest?</p> <p>21 A. Not that I'm aware of.</p> <p>22 Q. Is it your opinion that the -- well, let's</p> <p>23 back up.</p> <p>24 The TVT product uses polypropylene mesh,</p> <p>25 correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 73</p> <p>1 heavyweight, why would you disagree with that</p> <p>2 conclusion?</p> <p>3 A. I don't know why they said it.</p> <p>4 Q. They were asked is it heavyweight or</p> <p>5 lightweight. They said heavyweight. Would you</p> <p>6 disagree?</p> <p>7 A. I don't know what they were comparing it</p> <p>8 to.</p> <p>9 Q. You've opined that the IFU for the TVT was</p> <p>10 adequate; is that correct?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And that's prior to the 2015 IFU change;</p> <p>13 is that correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And is it your opinion that that IFU</p> <p>16 disclosed all potential risks --</p> <p>17 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>18 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>19 Q. -- associated with the TVT procedure and</p> <p>20 product?</p> <p>21 MR. COMBS: Sorry about that. Object to</p> <p>22 form. I interrupted the question.</p> <p>23 MR. CRONE: That's okay.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I --</p> <p>25</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 74</p> <p>1 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>2 Q. In reading your expert report, I</p> <p>3 understood it to say that you hold the opinion that</p> <p>4 the TVT IFU prior to the 2015 change was adequate</p> <p>5 because it disclosed all risks associated with the</p> <p>6 product; is that correct?</p> <p>7 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: I think it was adequate.</p> <p>9 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>10 Q. And why was it adequate?</p> <p>11 A. It disclosed the major known risks.</p> <p>12 Q. What if it failed to disclose risks that</p> <p>13 were known to Ethicon, would it still be adequate?</p> <p>14 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: I would have to look at that</p> <p>16 and compare the two.</p> <p>17 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. So if Ethicon knew that the -- that</p> <p>19 the TVT was subject to degradation and it's not on</p> <p>20 that IFU, would the IFU still be adequate?</p> <p>21 MR. COMBS: Objection to the form and</p> <p>22 foundation.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: I've never seen degradation</p> <p>24 in a patient.</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 76</p> <p>1 surgeries.</p> <p>2 Q. So should dyspareunia have been listed on</p> <p>3 the IFU?</p> <p>4 A. Well, that's a general, known complication</p> <p>5 of all pelvic floor surgeries.</p> <p>6 Q. There's nothing unique about the TVT</p> <p>7 product that could cause dyspareunia?</p> <p>8 A. The TVT product is a pelvic floor surgery</p> <p>9 just like a pubovaginal sling, Burch,</p> <p>10 anterior/posterior repair, vaginal hysterectomy.</p> <p>11 All of these things cause dyspareunia.</p> <p>12 Q. Are all of the potential complications</p> <p>13 listed in the IFU complications that could occur in</p> <p>14 any pelvic floor surgery?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Which ones aren't?</p> <p>17 A. Complications specific to the mesh.</p> <p>18 Q. So exposure?</p> <p>19 A. Yes. Well, I should say exposure of mesh,</p> <p>20 because you can have exposure of sutures from a</p> <p>21 Burch colposuspension or you can have exposure of a</p> <p>22 biologic material used for a sling. So they're</p> <p>23 different, but they're not -- the mesh exposure is</p> <p>24 specific for mesh exposure.</p> <p>25 Q. Sure, but the IFU then also lists</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 75</p> <p>1 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>2 Q. I know you've never seen degradation, but</p> <p>3 if that risk was known to Ethicon and it was not on</p> <p>4 that IFU, would the IFU still be adequate?</p> <p>5 A. I would have to see evidence that</p> <p>6 degradation was significant.</p> <p>7 Q. The same question for particle loss.</p> <p>8 A. I would have to see medical evidence that</p> <p>9 particle loss was significant.</p> <p>10 Q. Same question for contraction.</p> <p>11 A. I would have to see medical evidence that</p> <p>12 contraction was significant.</p> <p>13 Q. Same question for recurrent urinary tract</p> <p>14 infections.</p> <p>15 A. Recurrent urinary tract infections occur</p> <p>16 with all pelvic floor surgeries, so I would have to</p> <p>17 see medical evidence that that was significant.</p> <p>18 That's a known risk of all surgeries.</p> <p>19 Q. But it's not on the IFU, the TVT IFU?</p> <p>20 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Again, it's a known risk of</p> <p>22 all surgeries.</p> <p>23 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>24 Q. How about dyspareunia?</p> <p>25 A. A known risk of all pelvic floor</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 77</p> <p>1 transitory local irritation at the wound site.</p> <p>2 Couldn't that occur with any pelvic floor surgery?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. So if that's listed on the IFU, wouldn't</p> <p>5 it also be appropriate to list recurrent urinary</p> <p>6 tract infections?</p> <p>7 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: Again, it's not specific.</p> <p>9 It wouldn't be -- it's not specific for a mesh</p> <p>10 procedure, but it's something that can occur with</p> <p>11 any pelvic floor surgery, which a mesh procedure is.</p> <p>12 So it wouldn't be wrong to list it.</p> <p>13 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>14 Q. And so then it also wouldn't be wrong to</p> <p>15 list dyspareunia?</p> <p>16 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: You could list that.</p> <p>18 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>19 Q. Sure. And you could list recurrent UTIs?</p> <p>20 A. Well, again, that's probably the most</p> <p>21 common adverse event with pelvic floor surgeries.</p> <p>22 It occurs with that as well as all other surgeries.</p> <p>23 Q. You could list permanent pelvic pain?</p> <p>24 A. Pelvic pain occurs with all pelvic floor</p> <p>25 surgeries. I mean, not in everybody, but it is a</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 78</p> <p>1 known risk.</p> <p>2 Q. You can list obstruction?</p> <p>3 A. That's a known risk of a sling surgery or</p> <p>4 a colposuspension whether it's with mesh or without.</p> <p>5 Q. Have you ever explanted a TVT?</p> <p>6 A. I have.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Have you ever had a pathology</p> <p>8 report done on any of the explants?</p> <p>9 A. Everything that I take out of a patient I</p> <p>10 send to pathology for examination, I mean, to the</p> <p>11 best of my ability.</p> <p>12 Q. And how many mesh explant -- TVT explant</p> <p>13 procedures have you performed?</p> <p>14 MR. COMBS: Could you -- I didn't pay</p> <p>15 enough attention to the question. Can you just read</p> <p>16 that back to me?</p> <p>17 (Pending question read.)</p> <p>18 MR. COMBS: Thank you.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: I don't know that I could</p> <p>20 give you a specific number because I've taken out</p> <p>21 all types of mesh products, which includes TVT as</p> <p>22 well as other products, obturator slings, so --</p> <p>23 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>24 Q. Well, let's lump them all together.</p> <p>25 A. Okay. I can be sure it's over, I think,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 80</p> <p>1 Q. Radiology?</p> <p>2 A. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>3 Q. Are you a radiologist?</p> <p>4 A. I'm not a radiologist.</p> <p>5 Q. Engineer of any type?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Any expertise in polypropylene</p> <p>8 specifically?</p> <p>9 MR. COMBS: Object to form.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: Only as a physician that has</p> <p>11 used polypropylene mesh and polypropylene suture</p> <p>12 extensively.</p> <p>13 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Do you hold the opinion that the</p> <p>15 TVT product does not cause a foreign body reaction?</p> <p>16 A. I would say one of the reasons that we use</p> <p>17 polypropylene mesh, which is TVT, and polypropylene</p> <p>18 suture is that there's minimal reaction in the body.</p> <p>19 Q. Over the long term?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Fraying and particle loss, are you</p> <p>22 of the opinion on whether or not those occur with</p> <p>23 TVT?</p> <p>24 A. I'm not exactly sure what you mean by</p> <p>25 fraying. Particle loss I have read about. But I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 79</p> <p>1 50 to 60.</p> <p>2 Q. And of those 50 to 60, did you conduct</p> <p>3 your own evaluation of the mesh ever or did you send</p> <p>4 it off to pathology when you could?</p> <p>5 A. When I remove it, usually it's placed</p> <p>6 informal and then sent to pathology. I mean, I look</p> <p>7 at it to make sure that it's mesh and not --</p> <p>8 Q. Sure.</p> <p>9 A. -- so that I know what I've taken out. I</p> <p>10 don't do a pathologic examination.</p> <p>11 Q. Sure. So you look at it with your eyes,</p> <p>12 but you don't put it under a microscope; is that</p> <p>13 fair?</p> <p>14 A. That's fair.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Are you an expert in biofilm</p> <p>16 creation?</p> <p>17 A. I'm not.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Are you a pathologist?</p> <p>19 A. No, I'm not.</p> <p>20 Q. Are you a chemist?</p> <p>21 A. I am not.</p> <p>22 Q. Any expertise in polymers?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. Toxicology?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 81</p> <p>1 don't believe that particle loss or fraying are</p> <p>2 significant in my practice as far as medical</p> <p>3 outcome.</p> <p>4 Q. If I told you that on your reliance list</p> <p>5 you list Ethicon company documents in which Ethicon</p> <p>6 doctors admit that fraying occurs, that particle</p> <p>7 loss occurs --</p> <p>8 MR. CRONE: Counsel, forgive me for the</p> <p>9 compound nature of this question. I'm just trying</p> <p>10 to finish this up.</p> <p>11 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>12 Q. -- would that change your opinion if</p> <p>13 you -- I know you didn't review all those documents.</p> <p>14 If you reviewed those documents, might that change</p> <p>15 your opinions in this report?</p> <p>16 MR. COMBS: Objection to form and</p> <p>17 foundation.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: If I felt there was reliable</p> <p>19 medical data that showed that it was significant or</p> <p>20 had a consequence.</p> <p>21 BY MR. CRONE:</p> <p>22 Q. Are you going to review those Ethicon</p> <p>23 company documents?</p> <p>24 A. Again, I would like to see medical</p> <p>25 scientific evidence of the significance.</p>

Page 82	Page 84
<p>1 Q. I mean, those were already provided to 2 you. So I'm proffering to you now that those 3 documents disagree with your conclusions and asking 4 if you're going to review those. 5 A. Well, I would say if you're saying that, I 6 probably should review them and look at them, see if 7 I agree with that statement. 8 Q. And then you're open to the possibility 9 that your opinion may change? 10 MR. COMBS: All right. We have to be at 11 two hours now. 12 MR. CRONE: Can he just answer that 13 question and then that will be it? 14 THE WITNESS: Of course I would look at 15 any medical data and make an opinion of that data. 16 MR. CRONE: Okay. Thank you, Doctor. 17 THE WITNESS: And can I just clarify one 18 thing? 19 BY MR. CRONE: 20 Q. I won't tell you no. 21 A. When we were talking about disclosures 22 with the statements, I was thinking back to the 23 question that you asked me about if there was a 24 document about consulting for Ethicon as late as 25 2008. And just as I'm thinking about that through</p>	<p>1 (A discussion was held off the record.) 2 THE WITNESS: Rustan versus Cooper. 3 (Off the record at 11:02 a.m.) 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>
Page 83	Page 85
<p>1 my mind, I know that I filled out disclosures for 2 articles for the New England Journal of Medicine, 3 and I would have listed that. 4 But I don't know the amounts of any -- off 5 the top of my head, as it was eight years ago, the 6 amount that I listed as a proctor for Ethicon. But 7 I don't think that it was a large amount. But I 8 just don't know -- I know that I've disclosed that, 9 but I don't know what the amount is. I don't want 10 you to -- I don't want to imply to you that I know 11 that amount, because I don't. 12 Q. Yeah, I think I understand. So you would 13 have disclosed that for the purpose of disclosing 14 any potential conflict of interest, is that what 15 that's about? 16 A. Yeah. I just want to make sure that I 17 haven't misstated something about a consultant -- 18 Q. Oh, sure. 19 A. -- thing where I really didn't do very 20 much and I can't -- I can't completely recall. But 21 I do know that I filled out disclosures before. 22 Q. Okay. Understood. 23 MR. CRONE: Thank you. 24 (A discussion was held off the record.) 25 MR. COMBS: Okay. No questions.</p>	<p>1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC 2 I, Samara J. Zink, the officer before whom 3 the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby 4 certify that the witness whose testimony appears in 5 the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me to 6 testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 7 but the truth concerning the matters in this case. 8 I further certify that the foregoing 9 transcript is a true and correct transcript of my 10 original stenographic notes. 11 I further certify that I am neither 12 attorney or counsel, nor related to or employed by 13 any of the parties to the action in which this 14 deposition is taken; and furthermore, that I am 15 not a relative or employee of any attorney or 16 counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor 17 financially or otherwise interested in the outcome 18 of this action. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <hr/> <p>Samara J. Zink Notary Public in and for the State of Maryland</p> <p>My commission expires: February 28, 2017</p>

Page 86	Page 88
<div style="text-align: center;"> <p>1 - - - - -</p> <p>2 E R R A T A</p> <p>3 - - - - -</p> </div> <p>4 PAGE LINE CHANGE</p> <p>5 _____</p> <p>6 REASON: _____</p> <p>7 _____</p> <p>8 REASON: _____</p> <p>9 _____</p> <p>10 REASON: _____</p> <p>11 _____</p> <p>12 REASON: _____</p> <p>13 _____</p> <p>14 REASON: _____</p> <p>15 _____</p> <p>16 REASON: _____</p> <p>17 _____</p> <p>18 REASON: _____</p> <p>19 _____</p> <p>20 REASON: _____</p> <p>21 _____</p> <p>22 REASON: _____</p> <p>23 _____</p> <p>24 REASON: _____</p> <p>25 _____</p>	<div style="text-align: center;"> <p>1 LAWYER'S NOTES</p> <p>2 PAGE LINE</p> </div> <p>3 _____</p> <p>4 _____</p> <p>5 _____</p> <p>6 _____</p> <p>7 _____</p> <p>8 _____</p> <p>9 _____</p> <p>10 _____</p> <p>11 _____</p> <p>12 _____</p> <p>13 _____</p> <p>14 _____</p> <p>15 _____</p> <p>16 _____</p> <p>17 _____</p> <p>18 _____</p> <p>19 _____</p> <p>20 _____</p> <p>21 _____</p> <p>22 _____</p> <p>23 _____</p> <p>24 _____</p> <p>25 _____</p>
<div style="text-align: right; padding-bottom: 10px;">Page 87</div> <p>1</p> <p>2 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 I, _____, do</p> <p>5 hereby certify that I have read the</p> <p>6 foregoing pages, and that the same is</p> <p>7 a correct transcription of the answers</p> <p>8 given by me to the questions therein</p> <p>9 propounded, except for the corrections or</p> <p>10 changes in form or substance, if any,</p> <p>11 noted in the attached Errata Sheet.</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14 _____</p> <p>15 HARRY W. JOHNSON, JR., M.D. DATE</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 Subscribed and sworn</p> <p>19 to before me this</p> <p>20 _____ day of _____, 20____.</p> <p>21 My commission expires: _____</p> <p>22 _____</p> <p>23 Notary Public</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	